

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/632,556	2,556 08/01/2003		Rick A. Briggs	P-10503-US 2579		
27948	7590	06/30/2004	EXAMINER			
LAW OFF 312 SIGNA		JONATHAN A. B	NGUYEN	NGUYEN, KIEN T		
SUITE 200	LKOAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEWPORT	BEACH,	CA 92663	3712			

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			No. Applicant(s)						
<b></b>		10/632,5	56	BRIGGS, RICK A.					
Office Action Summary				Art Unit					
		Kien T. N		3712					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status			·						
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b	)⊠ This action is r	on-final.						
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election r	equirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
222 m. amanda actanda amad actan for a not of the defined depics not received.									
Attachment	:(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (						
3) 🛛 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/29/03</u> .	7-948) FO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:		)-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The preamble is confusing because it was not clear claim 1 is a method claim or an apparatus claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Weston et al US 2004,0092311 A1 ('311).

Weston et al disclosed a game using a plurality of RFID game consoles and RFID tags, whereby play participants are challenged to find and interact with the game consoles to advance in the game (see page 3, paragraph 45). Although Weston et al does not specifically identify the play facilities or theme parks having water play, such theme parks with water play are extremely well known in the art. Accordingly, it would be inherent that the interactive game using RFID game consoles and RFID tags of Weston et al could be used in any theme park or play facility with water play therein.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Goldberg U.S. Patent 6,526,158 ('158).

Goldberg disclosed an interactive game including a plurality of RFID game consoles (column 27, lines 9-16) and RFID tags (bracelets) whereby play participants are challenged to find and interact with game consoles to advance in the game (see column 28). Although Goldberg does not specifically identify the play facilities or theme parks having water play, such theme parks are very well known in the art. Accordingly, it would have been inherent that the interactive game of Goldberg could be used in any theme park with water play therein.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The enclosed references are cited for interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kien T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-2493. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3712

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kien T. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3712

Ktn